arXiv:1003.2888v1 [math.AP] 15 Mar 2010

Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions to a Model System of a Radiating Gas

Yongqin Liu[*]
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University
Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan

Shuichi Kawashima[†]
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University
Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan

Abstract

In this paper we focus on the initial value problem for a hyperbolic-elliptic coupled system of a radiating gas in multi-dimensional space. By using a time-weighted energy method, we obtain the global existence and optimal decay estimates of solutions. Moreover, we show that the solution is asymptotic to the linear diffusion wave which is given in terms of the heat kernel.

keywords: radiating gas; initial value problem; asymptotic behavior.

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification Numbers:
35B40; 35M20.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the initial value problem:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} f_j(u)_{x_j} + \mathrm{div} q = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0, \\ -\nabla \mathrm{div} q + q + \nabla u = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t > 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

---

[*] email: yqliu2@yahoo.com.cn
[†] email: kawashim@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

with the initial data

$$u(x,0)=u_0(x), \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^n. \tag{1.2}$$

Here $f_j(u),\ j=1,\cdots,n$, are smooth functions of u satisfying $f_j(u)=O(u^2)$ for $u\to 0$, and $u=u(x,t)$ and $q=(q_1,\cdots,q_n)(x,t)$ are unknown functions of $x=(x_1,\cdots,x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ $(n\geq 1)$ and $t>0$. Typically, u and q represent the velocity and radiating heat flux of the gas, respectively.

The system (1.1) is a simplified version of a radiating gas model in n-dimensional space. More precisely, in a certain physical situation, the system (1.1) gives a good approximation to the following system of a radiating gas, that is a quite general model for compressible gas dynamics where the heat radiative transfer phenomena are taken into account:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t+\mathrm{div}(\rho u)=0, \\ (\rho u)_t+\mathrm{div}(\rho u\otimes u+pI)=0, \\ \{\rho(e+\frac{|u|^2}{2})\}_t+\mathrm{div}\{\rho u(e+\frac{|u|^2}{2})+pu+q\}=0, \\ -\nabla\mathrm{div}q+a_1q+a_2\nabla\theta^4=0, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where $\rho,\ u,\ p,\ e$ and θ are respectively the mass density, velocity, pressure, internal energy and absolute temperature of the gas, while q is the radiative heat flux, and a_1 and a_2 are given positive constants depending on the gas itself. The first three equations form the usual Euler system, which describes the inviscid flow of a compressible fluid, and express the conservation of mass, momentum and energy, respectively. We refer to the book of Courant and Friedrichs [1] for a detailed derivation of several models in compressible gas dynamics. On the other hand, the physical motivation of the fourth equation, which takes into account the heat radiation phenomena, is given in [32, 3]. The simplified model (1.1) was first recovered by Hamer [8], and the reduction of the full system (1.3) to (1.1) was given in [32, 8, 16, 7].

There are many works on the study of the hyperbolic-elliptic coupled system for one-dimensional radiating gas. The earlier paper with application to this kind of systems is [31], where Schochet and Tadmor studied the regularized Chapman-Enskog expansion for scalar conservation laws. We refer to [8, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 23, 24, 22, 25, 27, 26] for shock waves, [5, 10, 9, 11] for nonlinear diffusion waves, [16] for rarefaction waves, [15, 17, 21] for a singular limit and relaxation limit, and [4, 29, 30] for L^1 stability results, . In the multi-dimensional case, Di Francesco in [2] obtained the global-in-time existence and uniqueness of weak entropy solutions to the system (1.1) and analyzed the relaxation limits. In [33], recently Wang and Wang studied the initial value problem for the system (1.1) in multi-dimensions and obtained the pointwise estimates of classical solutions by using the method of Green function combined with some energy estimates. Also, the stability of planar rarefaction waves was discussed in [7, 6]. Very recently, Ruan and Zhu [28] investigated the asymptotic decay rates toward the linear diffusion wave and also the rarefaction wave in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and proved the asymptotic relation of our Proposition 4.2 with $k = 0$ and the norm L^2 instead of H^{s-1-k} in the case $2 \le n \le 7$ by using the energy method and the semigroup argument.

In this paper we investigate the decay rate not only to the same linear diffusion wave as in [28] which can be seen from Proposition 4.2, but also to another diffusion wave which is given in terms of the heat kernel as shown in Theorem 2.4 for the initial value problem (1.1), (1.2) in $\mathbb{R}^n$ for $n \ge 2$ by applying the time-weighted energy method together with the semigroup argument , which removes the restriction $n \le 7$ assumed in [28] and also improves their results. To this end, we first transform the system (1.1) into the following equivalent decoupled system (1.4) which makes the derivation of our energy estimates easier, but is not essential for obtaining our main results,

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u_t - \Delta u + (1-\Delta) \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} f_j(u)_{x_j} = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ t > 0, \\ q = -(1-\Delta)^{-1} \nabla u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ t > 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

Then, by applying the time-weighted energy method to the decoupled system (1.4), we derive the optimal decay estimates of solutions for all $n \ge 1$. Finally, using the semigroup argument, we show that the solution is asymptotic to the linear diffusion wave as $t \to +\infty$, provided that $n \ge 2$. Our linear diffusion wave is given explicitly in terms of the heat kernel.

The contents of the paper are as follows. In Section 2 we give full statements of our main theorems. Section 3 gives the proof of the results on the global existence and decay estimates of solutions. The last section gives the proof of the theorem on the asymptotic convergence to the linear diffusion wave.

Before closing this section, we give some notations to be used below. Let $\mathcal{F}[f]$ denote the Fourier transform of f defined by

$$\mathcal{F}[f](\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} f(x)dx,$$

and we denote its inverse transform by $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$.

$L^p = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $(1 \le p \le +\infty)$ is the usual Lebesgue space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$. For $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, let $L^1_\gamma = L^1_\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the weighted L^1 space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^1_\gamma} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|)^\gamma |f(x)|dx.$$

Let s be a nonnegative integer. Then $H^s = H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the Sobolev space of L^2 functions, equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^s} := \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{s} \|\partial_x^k f\|_{L^2}^2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Here, for a nonnegative integer k, ∂_x^k denotes the totality of all the k-th order derivatives with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Also, $C^k(I; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$ denotes the space of k-times continuously differentiable functions on the interval I with values in the Sobolev space $H^s = H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Finally, in this paper, we denote every positive constant by the same symbol C or c without confusion. $[\,\cdot\,]$ is the Gauss' symbol.

2 Main theorems

Our first theorem is on the global existence and uniform energy estimate of solutions to the problem (1.1), (1.2).

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ and let $s \geq [\frac{n}{2}]+2$ be an integer. Assume that $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and put $E_0 := \|u_0\|_{H^s}$. Then there exists a small positive constant δ_0 such that if $E_0 \leq \delta_0$, then the problem* (1.1)*,* (1.2) *has a unique global solution $(u,q)(x,t)$ with*

$$u \in C([0,+\infty); H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \partial_x u \in L^2([0,+\infty); H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)),$$
$$q \in C([0,+\infty); H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^2([0,+\infty); H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

The solution verifies the uniform energy estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|q(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \int_0^t \|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + \|q(\tau)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 d\tau \leq CE_0^2. \tag{2.1}$$

When $n \geq 2$, the solution obtained in Theorem 2.1 verifies the following decay estimates.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ and let $s \geq [\frac{n}{2}]+2$ be an integer. If $E_0 = \|u_0\|_{H^s}$ is suitably small, then the global solution obtained in Theorem 2.1 verifies the decay estimates*

$$\|\partial_x^k u(t)\|_{H^{s-k}} \leq CE_0(1+t)^{-\frac{k}{2}} \tag{2.2}$$

for k with $0 \leq k \leq s$ and

$$\|\partial_x^k q(t)\|_{H^{s+1-k}} \leq CE_0(1+t)^{-\frac{k+1}{2}} \tag{2.3}$$

for k with $0 \leq k \leq s-1$.

The next theorem is on the optimal decay estimates of solutions for initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let* $n \geq 1$, *and let* $s \geq 3$ *for* $n = 1$ *and* $s \geq [\frac{n}{2}] + 2$ *for* $n \geq 2$. *Assume that* $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and put* $E_1 := \|u_0\|_{H^s} + \|u_0\|_{L^1}$. *Then there exists a small positive constant* δ_1 *such that if* $E_1 \leq \delta_1$, *then the global solution obtained in Theorem 2.1 satisfies the decay estimates*

$$\|\partial_x^k u(t)\|_{H^{s-k}} \leq CE_1(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k}{2}} \tag{2.4}$$

for k *with* $0 \leq k \leq s-1$ *and*

$$\|\partial_x^k q(t)\|_{H^{s+1-k}} \leq CE_1(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k+1}{2}} \tag{2.5}$$

for k *with* $0 \leq k \leq s-2$.

Remark 1. To obtain the optimal decay estimates of solutions stated in Corollary 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, we will use the following decay estimate for L^∞ norm of the derivative $\partial_x u$ as shown by $N(T)$ in (3.12):

$$\|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}.$$

Our final result is concerning the asymptotic profile of the global solution obtained in Theorem 2.3 for $n \geq 2$. First we show that for $n \geq 2$, the solution to the problem (1.1), (1.2) can be approximated by the solution to the corresponding linear problem,

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_t - \Delta\bar{u}_t - \Delta\bar{u} = 0, \quad \bar{u}(x,0) = u_0(x), \\ \bar{q} = -(1-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla\bar{u}. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

Then we prove that the solution to this linear problem can be further approximated by the following simpler problem (2.7) based on the linear heat equation $u_t - \Delta u = 0$,

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}_t - \Delta\tilde{u} = 0, \quad \tilde{u}(x,0) = u_0(x), \\ \tilde{q} = -(1-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla\tilde{u}. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

Since the solution to the linear heat equation is asymptotic to the heat kernel

$$G_0(x,t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[e^{-|\xi|^2 t}](x) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}, \tag{2.8}$$

we thus conclude that the asymptotic profile of our global solution is given by the following linear diffusion wave $(u^*, q^*)(x,t)$:

$$u^*(x,t) = MG_0(x,t+1), \quad q^*(x,t) = -\nabla u^*(x,t), \tag{2.9}$$

where $M = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(x)dx$ denotes the "mass". The result is precisely stated as follows.

Theorem 2.4. *Let* $n \geq 2$ *and* $s \geq [\frac{n}{2}]+2$. *Assume that* $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_1^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and put* $E_2 := \|u_0\|_{H^s} + \|u_0\|_{L_1^1}$. *Then the global solution* (u,q) *to the problem* (1.1), (1.2), *which is constructed in Theorem 2.3, is asymptotic to the linear diffusion wave* (u^*, q^*) *in* (2.9) *as* $t \to +\infty$:

$$\|\partial_x^k (u - u^*)(t)\|_{H^{s-1-k}} \leq CE_2 \rho(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k+1}{2}} \tag{2.10}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq s-1$ *and*

$$\|\partial_x^k (q - q^*)(t)\|_{H^{s-k}} \leq CE_2 \rho(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k+2}{2}} \tag{2.11}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq s-2$, *where* $\rho(t) = \ln(1+t)$ *for* $n = 2$ *and* $\rho(t) = 1$ *for* $n \geq 3$.

3 Global existence and decay estimates

3.1 Global existence of solutions

This subsection is devoted to the proof of the global existence result stated in Theorem 2.1. Since a local existence result can be obtained by the standard method based on the successive approximation sequence, we omit its details and only derive the desired a priori estimates of solutions.

First we give a lemma which will be used in the derivation of our energy estimates.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $1 \leq p, q, r \leq +\infty$ *and* $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}$. *Then the following estimates hold:*

$$\|\partial_x^k (uv)\|_{L^p} \leq C(\|u\|_{L^q}\|\partial_x^k v\|_{L^r} + \|v\|_{L^q}\|\partial_x^k u\|_{L^r}), \quad k \geq 0.$$

$$\|[\partial_x^k, u]\partial_x v\|_{L^p} \leq C(\|\partial_x u\|_{L^q}\|\partial_x^k v\|_{L^r} + \|\partial_x v\|_{L^q}\|\partial_x^k u\|_{L^r}), \quad k \geq 1.$$

The proof of this lemma can be found in [20].

The next lemma shows the equivalence of the system (1.1) and (1.4).

Lemma 3.2. *The system* (1.1) *is equivalent to the decoupled system* (1.4).

Proof. First we show that (1.1) implies (1.4). It follows from $(1.1)_2$ that $q = \nabla\phi$ with $\phi = \mathrm{div} q - u$. Therefore we see that $\nabla \mathrm{div} q = \nabla\Delta\phi = \Delta\nabla\phi = \Delta q$. Consequently, $(1.1)_2$ becomes $(1-\Delta)q + \nabla u = 0$, which gives $(1.4)_2$. Also, applying div to $(1.4)_2$, we have

$$\mathrm{div} q = -(1-\Delta)^{-1}\Delta u. \tag{3.1}$$

We substitute (3.1) into $(1.1)_1$ and then apply $1-\Delta$ to the resulting equation. This yields $(1.4)_1$.

Next we derive (1.1) from (1.4). We have (3.1) from $(1.4)_2$. Apply $(1-\Delta)^{-1}$ to $(1.4)_1$ and substitute (3.1) to the result. This yields $(1.1)_1$. Also, we rewrite (3.1) as $\mathrm{div} q = u - (1-\Delta)^{-1}u$ and apply ∇, obtaining

$$\nabla \mathrm{div} q = \nabla u - (1-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla u = \nabla u + q,$$

where we have used $(1.4)_2$. This gives $(1.1)_2$. Thus the proof of Lemma 3.2 is complete. $\square$

In view of Lemma 3.2, we only need to consider the decoupled system (1.4), which makes the derivation of our energy estimates easier. As a priori estimates of solutions, we show the uniform energy estimate for u by using the equation $(1.4)_1$ and then a similar estimate for q by making use of the relation $(1.4)_2$. To this end, we consider solutions u to the problem $(1.4)_1$, (1.2), which are defined on the time interval $[0, T]$ for $T > 0$ and satisfy

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le C, \qquad \|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le C \tag{3.2}$$

for $0 \le t \le T$. Notice that these estimates hold true if $\sup_{0\le t\le T} \|u(t)\|_{H^s} \le C$ for $s \ge [\frac{n}{2}] + 2$.

Now we multiply $(1.4)_1$ by u. A direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}&(u^2 + |\nabla u|^2)_t + 2|\nabla u|^2 - 2\nabla \cdot \{u\nabla(u_t + u + \sum_j f_j(u)_{x_j})\}\\ &\quad + \sum_j \{2g_j(u) + f_j'(u)|\nabla u|^2\}_{x_j} = -\sum_j f_j''(u)u_{x_j}|\nabla u|^2,\end{aligned}$$

where $g_j(u) = \int_0^u f_j'(\eta)\eta\, d\eta$. Integrating this equality with respect to x, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + 2\|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \le C\|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.3}$$

To get similar estimates for the derivatives, we apply ∂_x^l to $(3.1)_1$, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_x^l u_t - \Delta\partial_x^l u_t - \Delta\partial_x^l u + \sum_j f_j'(u)\partial_x^l u_{x_j} - \nabla \cdot \sum_j f_j'(u)\nabla\partial_x^l u_{x_j}\\ &= -h^l + \nabla \cdot H^l,\end{aligned}$$

where $h^l = \sum_j [\partial_x^l, f_j'(u)]u_{x_j}$ and $H^l = \sum_j [\partial_x^l \partial_{x_j}, f_j'(u)]\nabla u$. We multiply this equation by $\partial_x^l u$ and compute directly to get

$$\begin{aligned}(|\partial_x^l u|^2+|\nabla\partial_x^l u|^2)_t&+2|\nabla\partial_x^l u|^2-2\nabla\cdot\{\partial_x^l u\nabla\partial_x^l(u_t+u)\\&+\partial_x^l u\sum_j f_j'(u)\nabla\partial_x^l u_{x_j}\}+\sum_j\{f_j'(u)(|\partial_x^l u|^2+|\nabla\partial_x^l u|^2)\}_{x_j}\\=\sum_j f_j''(u)u_{x_j}&(|\partial_x^l u|^2+|\nabla\partial_x^l u|^2)-2(h^l\partial_x^l u+H^l\cdot\nabla\partial_x^l u)+2\nabla\cdot(H^l\partial_x^l u).\end{aligned}$$

Integrating this equality with respect x and estimating the right hand side by applying Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\partial_x^l u(t)\|_{H^1}^2+2\|\partial_x^l\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2\le C\|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_x^l u(t)\|_{H^1}^2, \tag{3.4}$$

where $1\le l\le s-1$. Here we have used the fact that $f_j(u)=O(u^2), j=1,\cdots,n$ for $u\to 0$. We add (3.3) and (3.4) for $1\le l\le s-1$ and integrate over $(0,t)$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2+\int_0^t\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2d\tau\\&\le CE_0^2+C\int_0^t\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2d\tau,\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $E_0=\|u_0\|_{H^s}$. Let $\bar\delta$ be a positive number (independnt of T) and assume that $\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|u(t)\|_{H^s}\le\bar\delta$, where $s\ge[\frac{n}{2}]+2$. Then the second term on the right hand side of (3.5) is estimated by $C\bar\delta\int_0^t\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2d\tau$. Therefore, choosing $\bar\delta$ so small that $C\bar\delta\le\frac{1}{2}$, we arrive at the uniform energy estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2+\int_0^t\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2d\tau\le CE_0^2. \tag{3.6}$$

On the other hand, it follows from $(1.4)_2$ that $\|q\|_{H^{s+1}}\le\|\partial_x u\|_{H^{s-1}}$, which combined with (3.6) yields

$$\|q(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2+\int_0^t\|q(\tau)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2d\tau\le CE_0^2. \tag{3.7}$$

These observations are summarized as follows.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $n\ge 1$ and let $s\ge[\frac{n}{2}]+2$ be an integer. Assume that $u_0\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and put $E_0:=\|u_0\|_{H^s}$. Let (u,q) be a solution to the problem* (1.1), (1.2) *on the time interval* $[0,T]$. *Then there is a small positive constant*

$\bar{\delta}$ *independent of* T *such that if* $\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|u(t)\|_{H^s}\le\bar{\delta}$, *then the solution verifies the following uniform energy estimate for* $t\in[0,T]$*:*

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2+\|q(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2+\int_0^t\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2+\|q(\tau)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2d\tau\le CE_0^2. \quad (3.8)$$

By virtue of the a priori estimate (3.8) for small solutions stated in Proposition 3.3, we can apply the continuity argument and obtain a unique global solution to the problem (1.1), (1.2), provided that E_0 is suitably small, say, $E_0\le\delta_0$. The solution obtained verifies (3.8) for $t\ge 0$. This proves Theorem 2.1.

3.2 Optimal decay estimates

In this subsection, we obtain the optimal decay estimates of the solution constructed in Theorem 2.1 by using the time-weighted energy method. To this end, we define two time-weighted energy norms $E(T)$ and $M(T)$. Also, we introduce $D(T)$ as the dissipation norm corresponding to $E(T)$.

$$E(T)^2:=\sum_{j=0}^{s}\sup_{0\le t\le T}(1+t)^j\|\partial_x^j u(t)\|_{H^{s-j}}^2, \quad (3.9)$$

$$D(T)^2:=\sum_{j=0}^{s}\int_0^T(1+\tau)^j\|\partial_x^{j+1}u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-j-1}}^2d\tau, \quad (3.10)$$

$$M(T)^2:=\sum_{j=0}^{s-1}\sup_{0\le t\le T}(1+t)^{\frac{n}{2}+j}\|\partial_x^j u(t)\|_{H^{s-j}}^2, \quad (3.11)$$

where $s\ge 1$. To derive estimates for $E(T)$, $D(T)$ and $M(T)$, we make use of the following time-weighted norm $N(T)$:

$$N(T):=\sup_{0\le t\le T}(1+t)\|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (3.12)$$

As for the energy $E(T)$ and $D(T)$, we have the following estimate.

Proposition 3.4. *Let* $n\ge 1$ *and* $s\ge[\frac{n}{2}]+2$. *Assume that* $u_0\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and put* $E_0:=\|u_0\|_{H^s}$. *Then the solution to the problem* (1.1), (1.2) *constructed in Theorem 2.1 satisfies following energy estimate:*

$$E(T)^2+D(T)^2\le CE_0^2+CN(T)D(T)^2.$$

Proof. In order to prove this proposition, it is enough to show the following estimates for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $0 \le j \le s$:

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+t)^j \|\partial_x^j u(t)\|_{H^{s-j}}^2 + \textstyle\int_0^t (1+\tau)^j \|\partial_x^{j+1} u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-j-1}}^2 d\tau \\ &\le CE_0^2 + CN(T)D(T)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

We know from (2.1) that (3.13) holds true for $j = 0$. Now, let $0 \le k \le s-1$ and suppose that (3.13) holds true for $j = k$. Then we show (3.13) for $j = k+1$. Multiplying (3.4) by $(1+t)^{k+1}$, integrating with respect to t over $(0, t)$ and adding for l with $k+1 \le l \le s-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+t)^{k+1} \|\partial_x^{k+1} u(t)\|_{H^{s-k-1}}^2 + \textstyle\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{k+1} \|\partial_x^{k+2} u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-k-2}}^2 d\tau \\ &\le CE_0^2 + C\textstyle\int_0^t (1+\tau)^k \|\partial_x^{k+1} u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-k-1}}^2 d\tau \\ &\qquad + C\textstyle\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{k+1} \|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x^{k+1} u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-k-1}}^2 d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right hand side is estimated by the induction hypothesis (3.13) with $j = k$, while the last term can be estimated by $CN(T)D(T)^2$. Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+t)^{k+1} \|\partial_x^{k+1} u(t)\|_{H^{s-k-1}}^2 + \textstyle\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{k+1} \|\partial_x^{k+2} u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-k-2}}^2 d\tau \\ &\le CE_0^2 + CN(T)D(T)^2,\end{aligned}$$

which shows that (3.13) holds true for $j = k+1$. Thus, by induction, we have proved Proposition 3.4. □

By employing the optimal decay results expressed in $E(T)$ and $M(T)$, we obtain the following estimates for $N(T)$.

Lemma 3.5. (i) *If $n \ge 2$ and $s \ge [\frac{n}{2}] + 2$, then $N(T) \le CE(T)$.*
(ii) *If $n = 1$ and $s \ge 3$, then $N(T) \le CM(T)$.*

Proof. (i) Let $s_0 = [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$ and $\theta = \frac{n}{2s_0}$. By applying the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\le C\|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \|\partial_x^{s_0+1} u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\theta} \\ &\le CE(T)(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\theta) - \frac{s_0+1}{2}\theta} \\ &= CE(T)(1+t)^{-(\frac{n}{4}+\frac{1}{2})}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $n \ge 2$, it yields that $N(T) \le CE(T)$.

(ii) By using the one-dimensional Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we have

$$\|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le C\|\partial_x u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_x^2 u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \le CM(T)(1+t)^{-1},$$

which gives $N(T) \le CM(T)$. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Corollary 2.2. By virtue of Lemma 3.5 (i), we have $N(T) \le CE(T)$ for $n \ge 2$, which together with Proposition 3.4 gives

$$E(T)^2 + D(T)^2 \le CE_0^2 + CE(T)D(T)^2.$$

Put $X(T) := E(T) + D(T)$. Then we have $X(T)^2 \le CE_0^2 + CX(T)^3$. This inequality is solved as $X(T) \le CE_0$, provided that E_0 is suitably small. In particular, we have $E(T) \le CE_0$, which shows the desired decay estimate (2.2) for $0 \le k \le s$. Moreover, by virtue of $(1.4)_2$, we have

$$\|\partial_x^k q(t)\|_{H^{s+1-k}} \le \|\partial_x^{k+1} u(t)\|_{H^{s-1-k}} \le CE_0(1+t)^{-\frac{k+1}{2}},$$

for $0 \le k \le s-1$, where we have used (2.2) with k replaced by $k+1$. This completes the proof of Corollary 2.2. ☐

To estimate the energy $M(T)$, we need the following L^1 estimate of the solution.

Lemma 3.6. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 2.3, the solution to the problem* (1.1), (1.2) *satisfies the following* L^1 *estimate for* u*:*

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^1} \le \|u_0\|_{L^1}. \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. Applying $(1-\Delta)^{-1}$ to $(1.4)_1$, we have

$$u_t + \sum_{j=1}^n f^j(u)_{x_j} + u - (I-\Delta)^{-1}u = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

We denote by $K(x)$ the the fundamental solution to the operator $I-\Delta$, that is, $(I-\Delta)^{-1}u = K*u$. We know that $K(x) \ge 0$, $K \in L^1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x)dx = 1$. See [2] for the details. Let j_δ be the Friedrichs mollifier and put

$$\phi_\delta(u) = j_\delta * \mathrm{sign}(u), \quad \Phi_\delta(u) = \int_0^u \phi_\delta(\xi)d\xi.$$

We multiply (3.15) by $\phi_\delta(u)$ and integrate the resulting equation over $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,t)$. Then, letting $\delta \to 0$, we obtain the desired L^1 estimate (3.14) just in the same way as in [2, 16, 28]. The details are omitted. ☐

By employing the time-weighted energy method together with the L^1 estimate (3.14), we get the following estimate for $M(T)$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $n \geq 1$ and $s \geq [\frac{n}{2}] + 2$. Assume that $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and put $E_0 := \|u_0\|_{H^s}$ and $E_1 := \|u_0\|_{H^s} + \|u_0\|_{L^1}$. Then, if E_0 is suitably small, then the solution to the problem* (1.1), (1.2) *constructed in Theorem 2.1 satisfies following estimate:*

$$M(T)^2 \leq CE_1^2(1 + N(T))^{s-1}.$$

Proof. In order to prove this proposition, it is enough to show the following estimate for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $0 \leq j \leq s - 1$:

$$\|\partial_x^j u(t)\|_{H^{s-j}}^2 \leq CE_1^2(1 + N(T))^{s-1}(1 + t)^{-\frac{n}{2}-j}. \tag{3.16}$$

For this purpose, it is sufficient to prove

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 + t)^{j+\alpha}\|\partial_x^j u(t)\|_{H^{s-j}}^2 + \textstyle\int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{j+\alpha}\|\partial_x^{j+1} u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-j-1}}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq CE_1^2(1 + N(T))^j(1 + t)^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

for $t \in [0, T]$ and $0 \leq j \leq s - 1$, where $\alpha > \frac{n}{2}$.

First we show (3.17) for $j = 0$. We add (3.3) and (3.4) for $1 \leq l \leq s - 1$, multiply the resulting inequality by $(1 + t)^\alpha$, and then integrate over $(0, t)$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 + t)^\alpha\|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \textstyle\int_0^t (1 + \tau)^\alpha\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq CE_0^2 + C\textstyle\int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\alpha-1}\|u(\tau)\|_{H^s}^2 d\tau \\ &\quad + C\textstyle\int_0^t (1 + \tau)^\alpha\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 d\tau =: CE_0^2 + I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Since $\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \leq CE_0$ by (2.1), we can estimate the term I_2 as

$$I_2 \leq CE_0\textstyle\int_0^t (1 + \tau)^\alpha\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 d\tau \leq \frac{1}{4}\int_0^t (1 + \tau)^\alpha\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 d\tau,$$

where E_0 is assumed to be small as $CE_0 \leq \frac{1}{4}$. On the other hand, we divide I_1 into two parts:

$$I_1 = C\textstyle\int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\alpha-1}\|u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + C\int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{\alpha-1}\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 d\tau =: I_{11} + I_{12}.$$

To estimate I_{12}, we choose T_1 so large that $C(1 + T_1)^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Then we divide the time interval $[0, t]$ into two parts $[0, T_1]$ and $[T_1, t]$; here we treat the case $t \geq T_1$ because the case $t \leq T_1$ is easier. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{12} &\leq C \max_{0\leq t\leq T_1}\{(1 + t)^{\alpha-1}\}\textstyle\int_0^{T_1} \|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{4}\int_{T_1}^t (1 + \tau)^\alpha\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq CE_0^2 + \textstyle\frac{1}{4}\int_0^t (1 + \tau)^\alpha\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.1). Finally, we estimate the term I_{11}. By using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality $\|u\|_{L^2} \le C\|\partial_x u\|_{L^2}^{\theta}\|u\|_{L^1}^{1-\theta}$ with $\theta = \frac{n}{n+2}$ and applying the Young inequality, we can estimate I_{11} as

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11} &\le C\textstyle\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha-1}\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{2\theta}\|u(\tau)\|_{L^1}^{2(1-\theta)}d\tau \\ &\le \tfrac{1}{4}\textstyle\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha}\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + C\textstyle\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}-1}\|u(\tau)\|_{L^1}^2 d\tau \\ &\le \tfrac{1}{4}\textstyle\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha}\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 d\tau + C\|u_0\|_{L^1}^2(1+t)^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the L^1 estimate (3.14) and the condition $\alpha > \frac{n}{2}$. Consequently, under the smallness assumption on E_0, we arrive at the estimate

$$(1+t)^{\alpha}\|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{\alpha}\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 d\tau \le CE_1^2(1+t)^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}},$$

which proves (3.17) for $j = 0$.

Now, let $0 \le k \le s-2$ and suppose that (3.17) holds true for $j = k$. Then we show (3.17) for $j = k+1$. Multiplying (3.4) by $(1+t)^{k+1+\alpha}$, integrating with respect to t over $(0,t)$ and adding up for l with $k+1 \le l \le s-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(1+t)^{k+1+\alpha}\|\partial_x^{k+1}u(t)\|_{H^{s-k-1}}^2 + \textstyle\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{k+1+\alpha}\|\partial_x^{k+2}u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-k-2}}^2 d\tau \\ &\le CE_0^2 + C\textstyle\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{k+\alpha}\|\partial_x^{k+1}u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-k-1}}^2 d\tau \\ &\quad + C\textstyle\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{k+1+\alpha}\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_x^{k+1}u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-k-1}}^2 d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Here, using the induction hypothesis (3.17) with $j = k$, we can estimate the second term on the right hand side by $CE_1^2(1+N(T))^k(1+t)^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}}$. Similarly, we estimate the last term as

$$\begin{aligned} &C\textstyle\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{k+1+\alpha}\|\partial_x u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_x^{k+1}u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-k-1}}^2 d\tau \\ &\le CN(T)\textstyle\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{k+\alpha}\|\partial_x^{k+1}u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-k-1}}^2 d\tau \\ &\le CE_1^2 N(T)(1+N(T))^k(1+t)^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(1+t)^{k+1+\alpha}\|\partial_x^{k+1}u(t)\|_{H^{s-k-1}}^2 + \textstyle\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{k+1+\alpha}\|\partial_x^{k+2}u(\tau)\|_{H^{s-k-2}}^2 d\tau \\ &\le CE_1^2(1+N(T))^{k+1}(1+t)^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that (3.17) holds true for $j = k+1$. Thus, by induction, we have proved Proposition 3.7. □

Proof of Theorem 2.3. By virtue of Lemma 3.5, we have $N(T) \le C(E(T) + M(T))$. Therefore we have from Propositions 3.4 and 3.7 that

$$\begin{aligned} &E(T)^2 + D(T)^2 \le CE_0^2 + C(E(T) + M(T))D(T)^2, \\ &M(T)^2 \le CE_1^2(1 + E(T) + M(T))^{s-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Put $Y(T) := E(T) + D(T) + M(T)$. Then we have $Y(T)^2 \le CE_1^2(1 + Y(T))^{s-1} + CY(T)^3$. This inequality is solved as $Y(T) \le CE_1$, provided that E_1 is suitably small, say, $E_1 \le \delta_1$. In particular, we have $M(T) \le CE_1$, which proves the decay estimate (2.4) for $0 \le k \le s-1$. Moreover, using $(1.4)_2$ and (2.4) with k replaced by $k+1$, we obtain

$$\|\partial_x^k q(t)\|_{H^{s+1-k}} \le \|\partial_x^{k+1} u(t)\|_{H^{s-1-k}} \le CE_1(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}+\frac{k+1}{2}}$$

for $0 \le k \le s-2$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3. ☐

4 Asymptotic profile

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 2.4 on the asymptotic profile. To this end, we first consider the corresponding linear problem (2.6). The fundamental solution to $(2.6)_1$ is given by $G(x,t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2}t}](x)$, and the solution to $(2.6)_1$ can be expressed in terms of the fundamental solution as

$$\bar{u}(x,t) = (G(t) * u_0)(x). \tag{4.1}$$

The solution operator $G(t)*$ verifies the following decay property:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $n \ge 1$ and $s \ge 0$. If $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then we have the following decay estimate:*

$$\|\partial_x^k G(t) * \phi\|_{L^2} \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}\|\phi\|_{L^1} + Ce^{-\frac{t}{2}}\|\partial_x^k \phi\|_{L^2}, \quad 0 \le k \le s.$$

Proof. By direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^k G(t) * \phi\|_{L^2}^2 &\le C\Big(\textstyle\int_{|\xi|\le 1} + \int_{|\xi|>1}\Big) |\xi|^{2k} e^{-\frac{2|\xi|^2}{1+|\xi|^2}t} |\hat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\le C\textstyle\int_{|\xi|\le 1} |\xi|^{2k} e^{-|\xi|^2 t} |\hat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + C\int_{|\xi|>1} |\xi|^{2k} e^{-t} |\hat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\le C\textstyle\int_{|\xi|\le 1} |\xi|^{2k} e^{-|\xi|^2(t+1)} e^{|\xi|^2} |\hat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + C\int_{|\xi|>1} |\xi|^{2k} e^{-t} |\hat{\phi}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{2}-k}\|\phi\|_{L^1}^2 + Ce^{-t}\|\partial_x^k \phi\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ☐

By Duhamel principle, we can express the solution of $(1.4)_1$ (or (3.15)), (1.2) as follows:

$$u(x,t) = G(t) * u_0 - \int_0^t G(t-\tau) * \mathrm{div} f(u)(\tau) d\tau. \tag{4.2}$$

Here and in the following, we use the abbreviation $f(u) = (f_1(u), \cdots, f_n(u))$. We decompose the solution formula (4.2) in the form $u(t) = \bar{u}(t) - F(u)(t)$, where $\bar{u}$ is the linear solution given in (4.1) and

$$F(u)(x,t) = \int_0^t G(t-\tau) * \mathrm{div} f(u)(\tau) d\tau. \tag{4.3}$$

First we prove that the solution to the problem $(1.4)_1$, (1.2) can be approximated by the solution to the corresponding linear problem $(2.6)_1$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $s \geq [\frac{n}{2}] + 2$. Assume that $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and put $E_1 := \|u_0\|_{H^s} + \|u_0\|_{L^1}$. Let (u,q) be the global solution to the problem* (1.1), (1.2) *which is obtained in Theorem 2.1, and let $\bar{u}$ be the solution to the corresponding linear problem* (2.6), *which is given by the formula* (4.1). *Then we have*

$$\|\partial_x^k (u - \bar{u})(t)\|_{H^{s-1-k}} \leq C E_1^2 \rho(t) (1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4} - \frac{k+1}{2}}$$

for k with $0 \leq k \leq s-1$, where $\rho(t) = \ln(1+t)$ for $n = 2$ and $\rho(t) = 1$ for $n \geq 3$.

Proof. Let k and m be nonnegative integers. We apply ∂_x^{k+m} to $F(u)$ in (4.3) and take the L^2 norm, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^{k+m} F(u)(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \left(\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \right) \|\partial_x^{k+m+1} G(t-\tau) * f(u)(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

By applying Lemma 4.1, using Lemma 3.1 and noticing that $f_j(u) = O(u^2), j = 1, \cdots, n$ for $u \to 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4} - \frac{k+m+1}{2}} \|f(u)\|_{L^1} d\tau \\ &\qquad + C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\frac{t-\tau}{2}} \|\partial_x^{k+m+1} f(u)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4} - \frac{k+m+1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\ &\qquad + C \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\frac{t-\tau}{2}} \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x^{k+m+1} u\|_{L^2} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\le C\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}(1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{1}{2}}\|\partial_x^{k+m}f(u)\|_{L^1}d\tau \\ &\qquad +C\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}e^{-\frac{t-\tau}{2}}\|\partial_x^{k+m+1}f(u)\|_{L^2}d\tau \\ &\le C\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}(1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{L^2}\|\partial_x^{k+m}u\|_{L^2}d\tau \\ &\qquad +C\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}e^{-\frac{t-\tau}{2}}\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|\partial_x^{k+m+1}u\|_{L^2}d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the terms I_1 and I_2. Let $s_0=[\frac{n}{2}]+1$ and $\theta=\frac{n}{2s_0}$. By using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and (2.2), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\le C\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta}\|\partial_x^{s_0}u(t)\|_{L^2}^{\theta} \\ &\le CE_1(1+t)^{-\frac{s_0}{2}\theta}=CE_1(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

By using (2.2), (2.4) and (4.5), we estimate I_1 as

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\le CE_1^2\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}}(1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k+m+1}{2}}(1+\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}}d\tau \\ &\quad +CE_1^2\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}}e^{-\frac{t-\tau}{2}}(1+\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k+1}{2}}d\tau \\ &\le CE_1^2\rho(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k+1}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

for k satisfying $k+m+1\le s$, where $\rho(t)$ is given above. Similarly, we can estimate I_2 as

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\le CE_1^2\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}(1+t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{1}{2}}(1+\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{k+m}{2}}d\tau \\ &\qquad +CE_1^2\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}e^{-\frac{t-\tau}{2}}(1+\tau)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k+1}{2}}d\tau \\ &\le CE_1^2\rho(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k+1}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

for k satisfying $k+m+1\le s$.

We substitute (4.6) and (4.7) into (4.4) and take the sum for m with $0\le m\le s-k-1$. This yields

$$\|\partial_x^kF(u)(t)\|_{H^{s-1-k}}\le CE_1^2\rho(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k+1}{2}}$$

for k with $0\le k\le s-1$. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.2. □

Next we consider the simpler problem for the linear heat equation (2.7). Notice that the solution to $(2.7)_1$ is expressed as $\tilde{u}(x,t)=(G_0(t)*u_0)(x)$, where $G_0(x,t)$ is the heat kernel given in (2.8). By direct computation we see that the solution $\bar{u}(x,t)$ of $(2.6)_1$ is well approximated by the solution $\tilde{u}(x,t)$ of $(2.7)_1$ as $t\to+\infty$:

Lemma 4.3. *Let $n \geq 1$ and $s \geq 0$. Assume that $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and put $E_1 = \|u_0\|_{H^s} + \|u_0\|_{L^1}$. Let $\bar{u}(x,t)$ and $\tilde{u}(x,t)$ be solutions to $(2.6)_1$ and $(2.7)_1$, respectively. Then we have the following asymptotic relation:*

$$\|\partial_x^k(\bar{u} - \tilde{u})(t)\|_{H^{s-k}} \leq CE_1(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k}{2}-1}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq s.$$

Proof. Since $|(\hat{G}(\xi,t) - \hat{G}_0(\xi,t)| \leq C|\xi|^2 e^{-c|\xi|^2 t}$ for $|\xi| \leq 1$, and $|(\hat{G}(\xi,t) - \hat{G}_0(\xi,t)| \leq Ce^{-ct}$ for $|\xi| \geq 1$, by direct calculation we have the following decay property for $(G - G_0)(t)*$: $\forall \phi \in H^s \cap L^1$,

$$\|\partial_x^k(G - G_0)(t) * \phi\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k}{2}-1}\|\phi\|_{L^1} + Ce^{-ct}\|\partial_x^k \phi\|_{L^2},$$

here $k \leq s$. Replace k with $k+m$, ϕ with u_0, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_x^{k+m}(G - G_0)(t) * u_0\|_{L^2} &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k+m}{2}-1}\|u_0\|_{L^1} + Ce^{-ct}\|\partial_x^{k+m}u_0\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k}{2}-1}\|u_0\|_{L^1} + Ce^{-ct}\|u_0\|_{H^{k+m}}.\end{aligned}$$

Take sum with $0 \leq m \leq s-k$, then we complete the proof of Lemma 4.3. ☐

Finally, we show that the solution to (2.7) is well approximated by the linear diffusion wave defined in (2.9). For this purpose, we recall the following well known result for the heat kernel.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq q \leq 2$. If $\phi \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then we have*

$$\|\partial_x^k G_0(t) * \phi\|_{L^2} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2})-\frac{k}{2}}\|\phi\|_{L^q}, \quad k \geq 0. \tag{4.8}$$

Also, if $\phi \in L^1_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x)dx = 0$, then we have

$$\|\partial_x^k G_0(t) * \phi\|_{L^2} \leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k+1}{2}}\|\phi\|_{L^1_1}, \quad k \geq 0. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.4. We recall the linear diffusion wave $(u^*, q^*)(x,t)$ in (2.9). Since $G_0(x, t+1)$ is a solution of the linear heat equation $u_t - \Delta u = 0$ with the initial data $G_0(x,1) = (4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}} =: \phi_0(x)$, we see that $G_0(x, t+1) = (G_0(t) * \phi_0)(x)$. Consequently, we can write

$$(\tilde{u} - u^*)(t) = G_0(t) * (u_0 - M\phi_0),$$

where $M = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0(x)dx$. Here $\phi_0(x)$ is a rapidly decreasing function satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_0(x)dx = 1$, so that we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u_0 - M\phi_0)(x)dx = 0$. Therefore, applying Lemma 4.4, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_x^k(\tilde{u} - u^*)(t)\|_{H^{s-k}} &= \|\partial_x^k G_0(t) * (u_0 - M\phi_0)\|_{H^{s-k}} \\ &\leq CE_2(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k+1}{2}}\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq s$. Now we write $u - u^* = (u - \bar{u}) + (\bar{u} - \tilde{u}) + (\tilde{u} - u^*)$ and apply Proposition 4.2, Lemma 4.3 and (4.10). This yields the desired estimate (2.10) for $0 \leq k \leq s - 1$.

On the other hand, we have $q^* = -\nabla u^*$ by (2.9), which can be rewritten as $q^* = -(1-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla u^* + (1-\Delta)^{-1}\Delta\nabla u^*$. Therefore, noting (1.4), we find that

$$q - q^* = -(1-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla(u - u^*) - (1-\Delta)^{-1}\Delta\nabla u^*.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|\partial_x^k(q - q^*)(t)\|_{H^{s-k}} \leq \|\partial_x^{k+1}(u - u^*)(t)\|_{H^{s-2-k}} + \|\partial_x^{k+3}u^*(t)\|_{H^{s-2-k}}.$$

Here, applying (2.10) with k replaced by $k+1$, we see that the first term on the right hand side is estimated by $CE_2\rho(t)(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k+2}{2}}$ for $0 \leq k \leq s-2$, where $\rho(t)$ is given in Theorem 2.4. Also, using the expression $u^* = MG_0(t) * \phi_0$ and applying (4.8), we know that the second term can be estimated by $C\|u_0\|_{L^1}(1+t)^{-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{k+3}{2}}$. These observations prove the estimate (2.11). Thus the proof of Theorem 2.4 is complete. ☐

Acknowledgments. This work was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows.

References

[1] R. Courant, K. O. Friedrichs, Supersonic Flow and Shock Waves, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1948.

[2] M. Di Francesco, Initial value problem and relaxation limits of the Hamer model for radiating gases in several space variables, NoDEA Nonl. Differential Equations Appl., **13** (2007), 531-562.

[3] M. Di Francesco, Diffusive behavior and asymptotic self similarity for fluid models, PhD Thesis.

[4] M. Di Francesco and C. Lattanzio, Optimal L^1 rate of decay to diffusion waves for the Hamer model of radiating gases. Appl. Math. Lett. **19** (2006), no. 10, 1046–1052.

[5] R. Duan, K. Fellner and C. Zhu, Energy method for multi-dimensional balance laws with non-local dissipation, to appear in J. Math. Pur. Appl. (http://homepage.univie.ac.at/klemens.fellner/ preprints/DZFfinal.pdf).

[6] W.L. Gao, L.Z. Ruan and C.J. Zhu, Decay rates to the planar rarefaction waves for a model system of the radiating gas in n-dimensions, J. Differential Equations, **244** (2008), 2614-2640.

[7] W.L. Gao and C.J. Zhu, Asymptotic decay toward the planar rarefaction waves for a model system of the radiating gas in two dimensions, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., **18** (2008), 511-541.

[8] K. Hamer, Nonlinear effects on the propogation of sound waves in a radiating gas, Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math., **24** (1971), 155-168.

[9] T. Iguchi and S. Kawashima, On space-time decay properties of solutions to hyperbolic-elliptic coupled systems, Hiroshima Math. J., **32** (2002), 229-308.

[10] S. Kawashima, Y. Nikkuni and S. Nishibata, The initial value problem for hyperbolic-elliptic coupled systems and applications to radiation hydrodynamics, Analysis of Systems of Conservation Laws (H. Freistüehler ed.), Chapman & Hall/CRC, 1998, 87-127.

[11] S. Kawashima, Y. Nikkuni and S. Nishibata, Large-time behavior of solutions to hyperbolic-elliptic coupled systems, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **170** (2003), 297-329.

[12] S. Kawashima and S. Nishibata, Shock waves for a model system of the radiating gas, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **30** (1998), 95-117.

[13] S. Kawashima and S. Nishibata, Weak solutions with a shock to a model system of the radiating gas, Sci. Bull. Josai. Univ., Special Issue, **5** (1998), 119-130.

[14] S. Kawashima and S. Nishibata, Cauchy problem for a model system of the radiating gas: weak solution with a jump and classical solutions, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., **9** (1999), 69-91.

[15] S. Kawashima and S. Nishibata, A singular limit for hyperbolic-elliptic coupled systems in radiation hydrodynamics, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **50** (2001), 567-589.

[16] S. Kawashima and Y. Tanaka, Stability of rarefaction waves for a model system of a radiating gas, Kyushu J. Math., **58** (2004), 211-250.

[17] P. Laurenot, Asymptotic self-similarity for a simplified model for radiating gases. Asymptot. Anal. **42** (2005), no. 3-4, 251–262.

[18] C. Lin, J.-F. Coulombel and T. Goudon, Asymptotic stability of shock profiles in radiative hydrodynamics. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **345** (2007), no. 11, 625–628.

[19] C. Lin, J.-F. Coulombel and T. Goudon, Shock profiles for non-equilibrium radiating gases. Phys. D **218** (2006), no. 1, 83–94.

[20] Y. Liu and S. Kawashima, Global existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions for quasi-linear dissipative plate equation, preprint.

[21] C. Lattanzio and P. Marcati, Global well-posedness and relaxation limits of a model for radiating gas. J. Differential Equations **190** (2003), no. 2, 439–465.

[22] C. Lattanzio, C. Mascia, T. Nguyen, R.G. Plaza and K. Zumbrun, Stability of scalar radiative shock profiles, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **41** (2009), no. 6, 2165-2206.

[23] C. Lattanzio, C. Mascia and D. Serre, Shock waves for radiative hyperbolic-elliptic systems. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56** (2007), no. 5, 2601–2640.

[24] C. Lattanzio, C. Mascia, and D. Serre, in Hyperbolic Problems: Theory, Numerics, Applications (Lyon, July 1721, 2006), S. Benzoni-Gavage and D. Serre, eds., Springer-Verlag, Boston, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008, 661-669.

[25] H. Liu, and E. Tadmor, Critical thresholds in a convolution model for nonlinear conservation laws. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **33** (2001), no. 4, 930–945 (electronic).

[26] S. Nishibata, Asymptotic behavior of solutions to a model system of a radiating gas with discontinuous initial data, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., **10** (2000), 1209-1231.

[27] T.Nguyen, R. G. Plaza and K. Zumbrun, Stability of radiative shock profiles for hyperbolic-elliptic coupled systems. Preprint (http://arxiv.org/abs/0908.1566).

[28] L.Z. Ruan and C.J. Zhu, Asymptotic behavior of solutions to a hyperbolic-elliptic coupled system in multi-dimensional radiating gas, preprint.

[29] D. Serre, L^1-stability of constants in a model for radiating gases, Comm. Math. Sci., **1** (2003), 197-205.

[30] D. Serre, L^1-stability of nonlinear waves in scalar conservation laws. Evolutionary equations. Vol. I, 473–553, Handb. Differ. Equ., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2004.

[31] S. Schochet, and E. Tadmor, The regularized Chapman-Enskog expansion for scalar conservation laws. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **119** (1992), no. 2, 95–107.

[32] W.G. Vincenti and C. H. Kruger, Introduction to Physical Gas Dynamics, Wiley, New York, 1965.

[33] W. Wang and W. Wang, The pointwise estimates of solutions for a model system of the radiating gas in multi-dimensions, Nonlinear Analysis, **71** (2009), 1180-1195.